

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 28 2005

FAX COVER SHEET

TO	
COMPANY	
FAX NUMBER	15712738300
FROM	charles mirho
DATE	2005-09-28 19:18:49 GMT
RE	Application Number: 10/712,350: Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036: Office Action Response Filing Documents

COVER MESSAGE

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036
Title: EXPANDABLE SCAFFOLD WITH WHEEL LOCK
Application Number: 10/712,350
First Named Inventor: Winter
Filing Date: November 13, 2003
Art Unit: 3634

GET FREE ONLINE FAX DELIVERY FROM eFAX
WWW.EFAX.COM

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

for

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036
Title: EXPANDABLE SCAFFOLD WITH WHEEL LOCK
Application Number: 10/712,350
Filing Date: Thursday, November 13, 2003
First Named Inventor: Winter, Steven
Group Art Unit: 3634
Examiner Name: Chin-Shue, Alvin

I hereby certify that the following is being transmitted via facsimile to telephone number 571-273-8300 on Wednesday, September 28, 2005.

Signature: /Jennifer J. Sundquist/
Jennifer J. Sundquist

Contents of This Correspondence

7 pages of amendment and remarks
1 page of Certificate of facsimile

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036
Title: EXPANDABLE SCAFFOLD WITH WHEEL LOCK
Application Number: 10/712,350

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 28 2005

-1-

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AMENDMENT

FOR

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036
Title: EXPANDABLE SCAFFOLD WITH WHEEL LOCK
Application Number: 10/712,350
Filing Date: Thursday, November 13, 2003
First Named Inventor: Winter, Steven
Group Art Unit: 3634
Examiner Name: Chin-Shue, Alvin

Summary of Office Action

In an office action mailed on 06/28/2005, claims 1,2, and 4 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Romano, US 3,250,344; claim 11 is rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Brewster, US 2,600,199; claim 4 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Romano; claim 5 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Romano in view of Wyse (incomplete citation provided); claim 3 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Romano in view of Pierce, US 3,282,378; claim 6 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Romano in view of MacDonald; US 1,737,315; claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Brewster in view of Moller, US 3,820,190 and Benbow, US 2,359,284; claims 12-15 are objected to as substantial duplicates of claims 7-10; claim 10 would be allowed if written in independent form.

Summary of Amendments

Amendments have been made to the claims. The amendments to the claims are detailed under the heading "Claims". Deletions are shown in strike-through; additions are underlined.